CALIFORNIA HIV SEROPREVALENCE ANNUAL REPORT 1999



California Department of Health Services
June 2001



Gray Davis Governor State of California

Grantland Johnson Secretary Health and Human Services Agency Diana M. Bontá, R.N., Dr. P.H. Director Department of Health Services

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

OFFICE OF AIDS 611 NORTH SEVENTH STREET, SUITE A SACRAMENTO, CA 95814-0208 (916) 445-0553



TO: INTERESTED PARTIES

SUBJECT: CALIFORNIA HIV SEROPREVALENCE ANNUAL REPORT, 1999

I am pleased to make available to you the California HIV Seroprevalence Annual Report. The data in this report were gathered in 1999 by the California Department of Health Services, Office of AIDS, in collaboration with local health departments, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, California blood banks and plasma centers, the United States Department of Defense, the California Department of Health Services, Genetic Disease Branch and Viral and Rickettsial Disease Laboratory.

The data have been useful to many local health departments in monitoring the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) epidemic locally, targeting prevention activities and other services, and making other public health policy decisions.

I hope you find the data useful in your local HIV serosurveillance activities as well as the community HIV prevention planning process. If you have any questions about this annual report, please contact Donna Zukowski or Juan D. Ruiz, M.D., Dr. P.H. at (916) 324-8441.

Michael Montgomery, Chief Office of AIDS

Original signed by Vanessa Baird

CALIFORNIA HIV SEROPREVALENCE ANNUAL REPORT 1999

Prepared by Donna Zukowski Juan Ruiz, M.D., Dr. P.H.

Office of AIDS HIV/AIDS Epidemiology Branch

http://www.dhs.ca.gov/AIDS

Kevin F. Reilly, D.V.M., M.P.V.M. Acting Deputy Director Prevention Services Michael Montgomery, Chief Office of AIDS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The California Department of Health Services, Office of AIDS thanks the following people for sharing their time and expertise during the development of this report:

Marilyn Mitchell, Fresno County Health Services Agency; David K. Martin, Kern County Health Department; Trista Bingham, County of Los Angeles Department of Health Services; Jesus Garcia, County of Sacramento Department of Health and Human Services; Alexander Taylor, County of San Bernardino Department of Public Health; Dr. Michele M. Ginsberg, County of San Diego Department of Health Services; Dennis Ferrero, San Joaquin County Public Health Services; Kavita Thirumalai, Santa Clara County Department of Public Health; Dr. Hazel G. Wallace, City of Long Beach Department of Health and Human Services; and Leroy Blair, City of Berkeley Department of Health and Human Services.

Additionally, special acknowledgement is given to the following persons:

Tim Kellogg, San Francisco Department of Public Health; Bob Poindexter, AIDS Case Registry Section, Office of AIDS; and Duncan MacKellar, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Glossary	of Acronymsiv
Executive	Summary v
Backgrou	ınd and Highlightsvi
Proposed	Non-Name Based HIV Reporting System in California ix
Sexually	Transmitted Disease Clinics
Blood Ba	nks and Plasma Centers42
Civilian A	pplicants for Military Service46
Survey of	f Childbearing Women51
	TABLES AND GRAPHS
SURV	EY OF CLIENTS ATTENDING SEXUALLY TRANSMITTED DISEASE CLINICS
Table 1.	By Region
Figure 1.	By Region 5
Table 2.	California Counties and Cities 6
Table 3.	By Gender and Risk Behavior 7
Table 4.	By Gender and Race/Ethnicity 8
Figure 2.	By Gender and Race/Ethnicity
Table 5.	By Gender and Age Group 10
Figure 3.	By Gender and Age Group 11
Table 6.	MSM, by Race/Ethnicity 12
Figure 4.	MSM, by Race/Ethnicity
Table 7.	MSM, by Age Group 14
Table 8.	Heterosexual Males, by Race/Ethnicity
Table 9.	Heterosexual Females, by Race/Ethnicity

TABLES OF CONTENTS (Continued)

Figure 5.	Heterosexuals, by Gender and Race Ethnicity	17
Table 10.	Heterosexual Males, by Age Group	18
Table 11.	Heterosexual Females, by Age Group	19
Figure 6.	Heterosexuals, by Gender and Age Group	20
Table 12.	Fresno County, Risk Behavior, Race/Ethnicity, Age Group	21
Table 13.	Kern County, Risk Behavior, Race/Ethnicity, Age Group	22
Table 14.	Los Angeles County, Risk Behavior, Race/Ethnicity, Age Group	23
Table 15.	Sacramento County, Risk Behavior, Race/Ethnicity, Age Group.	24
Table 16.	San Bernardino County, Risk Behavior, Race/Ethnicity, Age Group	25
Table 17.	San Diego County, Risk Behavior, Race/Ethnicity, Age Group	26
Table 18.	San Francisco County, Risk Behavior, Race/Ethnicity, Age Group	27
Table 19.	San Joaquin County, Risk Behavior, Race/Ethnicity, Age Group	28
Table 20.	Santa Clara County, Risk Behavior, Race/Ethnicity, Age Group	29
Table 21.	Long Beach City, Risk Behavior, Race/Ethnicity, Age Group	30
Table 22.	Berkeley City, Risk Behavior, Race/Ethnicity, Age Group	31
Figure 7.	Trends, San Francisco Region, Race/Ethnicity, Age Group, Risk Behavior,1994-1998	34
Figure 8.	Trends, San Diego Region, Race/Ethnicity, Age Group, Risk Behavior, 1992-1998	35
Figure 9.	Trends, Los Angeles Region, Race/Ethnicity, Age Group, Risk Behavior, 1992-1998	36

TABLES OF CONTENTS (Continued)

37
38
39
40
41
44
45
48
49
50
53
54

GLOSSARY OF ACRONYMS

ELISA Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay

CDC Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Drug Treatment Center
HFS HIV Family of Surveys

HIV Human Immunodeficiency Virus

IDU Injection Drug Use

IFA Immunofluorescence AssayMSM Men who have Sex with MenSTD Sexually Transmitted Diseases

CALIFORNIA HIV SEROPREVALENCE ANNUAL REPORT, 1999

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Objectives. The objectives of this project were: 1) to establish baseline HIV seroprevalence rates, and 2) to monitor HIV trends in known high-risk or cross-over groups.

Design. The serosurvey uses anonymous, unlinked (blinded) HIV testing. Blinded samples are gathered from discarded blood originally collected from consecutive eligible clients for routine diagnostic purposes and tested for HIV antibodies after all personal identifying information has been removed.

Result. During 1999, there were a total of 17,620 serum samples tested from clients attending Sexually Transmitted Diseases (STD) clinics, of which 601 (3.4%) were HIV antibody positive. Among risk categories, the highest HIV seroprevalence (27.0 %) was among men who reported sex with men who also injected drugs. Among racial/ethnic groups, prevalence was highest among White men (9.9%) and Black women (0.6%). Almost 28% (158/575) of all HIV-infected men was in age group 35-39, accounting for the highest prevalence of 9.8%. Age group 40-44 represented 16% (4/25) of all HIV-infected women, showing the highest prevalence of 0.9%. The highest HIV seroprevalences among clients attending STD clinics were reported from the counties of San Francisco and San Diego.

Conclusion. The anonymous seroprevalence survey among clients attending STD clinics has provided a basis for further describing the HIV epidemic among populations at greatest risk for HIV infection in selected areas of California.

CALIFORNIA HIV SEROPREVALENCE ANNUAL REPORT 1999

Background

Between 1988 and 1996, the California Department of Health Services, Office of AIDS (CDHS/OA) participated in Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) Family of Surveys (HFS) funded by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). The CDHS/OA has funded sentinel serosurveillance activities from 1997 to the present. The objectives of sentinel serosurveillance are to: 1) provide state and local health officials and the general public with information on HIV prevalence in various populations, so that education and prevention programs can be developed, targeted, and evaluated; 2) indicate the magnitude and extent of HIV infection by demographic and behavioral subgroup and by geographic area; 3) indicate regional and national changes over time in the prevalence of infection in specific populations defined by risk behaviors and demographic characteristics; and 4) assist in projecting the number of children and adults who will develop HIV-associated illness and require medical care.

The serosurveys are clinic-based and are conducted annually in selected sentinel sites throughout the State. They are designed to establish baseline HIV seroprevalence rates, monitor HIV trends in known high-risk or cross-over groups, and serve as an early warning system for the possible spread of HIV from these groups into the general population.

All of these surveys use anonymous, unlinked (blinded) HIV testing. In unlinked surveys, samples gathered from discarded blood originally collected from consecutive eligible clients for routine diagnostic purposes are tested for HIV antibodies after all personal identifying information has been removed. The HIV test results as well as risk information obtained from medical records cannot be linked to specific individuals.

This summary presents results of the HIV serosurveillance activities from surveys in sentinel sites in California during 1999. The selected clinical settings are sexually transmitted disease (STD) clinics. In addition, this report includes data obtained from other sources: HIV screening by blood collection agencies of blood donations, HIV screening by the Department of Defense of civilian applicants for military service, and 1998 Survey of Childbearing Women data. In 1995 the CDC, at the request of the Assistant Secretary of Health and Human Services, discontinued the Survey of Childbearing Women. The CDHS/OA replicated this study during the third quarter of 1998 and performed Zidovudine (ZDV) determination on all HIV-positive specimens.

All of the surveys in this report measure HIV seroprevalence, which is the proportion of persons who have serologic evidence of HIV infection at a given time. Seroprevalence is influenced by the rate of new HIV infections (incidence) and by attrition of HIV-infected persons from the population under study, often through illness or death.

HIV seroprevalence is a good indicator of future morbidity and health delivery needs because it measures the level of HIV infection in a population. Seroprevalence data

from a single site should be interpreted with caution because the representativeness of the sample population may be changing.

Highlights

Sexually Transmitted Disease Clinics

In 1999, a total of 17,620 serum samples were tested for the presence of HIV antibody at 19 STD clinics in 11 local health departments¹ (Table 2). Statewide, the seroprevalence (3.4 percent) at STD clinics increased from 2.4 percent in 1998².

The overall HIV seroprevalence among men in 1999 was 4.9 percent and among women was 0.4 percent (Table 3). By risk behavior, the highest seroprevalence (27.0 percent) in STD clinics was among men who reported sex with men who also injected drugs, up from 19.0 percent in 1998.

Among men in the sample, seroprevalence was highest (9.9 percent) in the White racial/ethnic group (Table 4). Among women, the Black racial/ethnic group had the highest seroprevalence (0.6 percent), down from 0.9 percent in 1998.

Figures 7-14 present trends in HIV seroprevalence among persons attending STD clinics in eight regions of California for years 1994–1999, by Race/Ethnicity, Age Group and Risk Behavior.

California Blood Banks and Plasma Centers

In 1999, 721,055 specimens from selected California blood banks³ were tested, of which 19 (0.003) percent were seropositive (Table 23). In 1999, 637,908 specimens from selected California plasma centers⁴ were tested, of which 47 (0.007 percent) were HIV seropositive (Table 24).

Civilian Applicants for Military Service

In 1999, a total of 19,049 serum samples were tested among persons applying for military service in nine selected counties⁵, of which five were HIV seropositive. HIV seroprevalence was 0.03 percent (Table 25).

¹Fresno, Kern, Los Angeles, Sacramento, San Bernardino, San Diego, San Francisco, San Joaquin, Santa Clara, Long Beach, Berkeley.

² All 1998 data from: State of California, Department of Health Services, Office of AIDS, HIV/AIDS Epidemiology Branch; California HIV Seroprevalence Annual Report 1998, August 2000.

³Fresno, Kern, Long Beach, Los Angeles, Pasadena. Sacramento, San Diego, San Francisco, San Joaquin, and Santa Clara.

⁴Fresno, Kern, Long Beach, Los Angeles, Sacramento, San Bernardino, San Diego and San Joaquin.

Men represented 80.7 percent of the total civilian applicants among these counties, of which 0.03 percent were HIV seropositive (Table 26). Women represented 19.3 percent with HIV prevalence of 0.03 (Table 27).

Survey of Childbearing Women

During 1998, 76,166 specimens were tested among selected counties⁶ for maternal HIV antibodies (Table 28). The seroprevalence rate was 0.08 percent.

By age group, women aged 35 & Over had the highest seroprevalence, followed by age group 25-29.


By race/ethnicity, Black women showed the highest (0.38 percent) seroprevalence rate (Table 29).

PROPOSED NON-NAME BASED HIV REPORTING SYSTEM IN CALIFORNIA

The advents of new human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) treatments in recent years have drastically changed the nature of the HIV epidemic. These treatment advances have reduced morbidity and mortality among individuals infected with HIV and significantly delayed the progression of HIV to the most advanced stage of the disease, namely, acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS). Consequently, exclusive reliance on AIDS case surveillance information to monitor and characterize various aspects of the HIV epidemic will produce an incomplete picture of the HIV-infected population.

There is a compelling need to provide more accurate, complete and timely information on the HIV epidemic to assist in the development of effective education, prevention, early intervention, care and treatment programs. In response to this need, the State Office of AIDS (OA) has proposed new regulations (R-19-00) for the reporting of individuals infected with HIV. While maintaining the existing name-based surveillance methods for AIDS, the proposed regulations require providers of service and laboratories licensed to conduct HIV-related testing in California to report individuals with confirmed test results indicative of HIV infection to the appropriate local health departments using a non-name code. The local health departments shall, in turn, forward unduplicated HIV case reports to the OA using the non-name code.

The period for public comment for the proposed regulations began on March 30, 2001 and ended on May 21, 2001. The OA is currently reviewing the comments and making necessary revisions to the regulation. The proposed non-name-based surveillance system is tentatively scheduled for implementation during the later part of fiscal year 2001-2002.



SURVEYS OF ADULTS ATTENDING SEXUALLY TRANSMITTED DISEASE (STD) CLINICS

STD clinics serve persons at increased risk of infectious disease due to unprotected sex and other behaviors such as injection drug use. During 1999, STD clinics in 11 local health departments¹ conducted unlinked surveys to determine rates of HIV infection among adults attending STD clinics. Serum samples from clients who were being evaluated for a possible STD and who had not previously visited the clinic since initiation of the survey in any calendar year were included in the survey. Clients attending the clinic solely for HIV testing are eligible for the survey if they have blood drawn for purposes other than HIV testing. Eligible specimens were selected consecutively to meet a desired sample size of at least 500 clients at each clinic.

Beginning in 1997, revised data collection forms and software were implemented which included changes in Risk Behavior and Age Group categories.

STD clinics serve large numbers of HIV-infected persons. HIV surveillance in these clinics provides important information about populations at greatest risk for HIV infection. Serosurveillance may also provide an early warning of the heterosexual spread of HIV infection, since those at greatest risk of heterosexual transmission are likely to be those also at risk of acquiring other STDs.

This report summarizes results for 1999 from 19 STD clinics in selected California counties and cities. Statewide, the seroprevalence at the clinics increased from 2.4 percent in 1998 to 3.4 percent in 1999 (Table 1).

Selected California counties and cities submitted between 109 and 9,187 serum specimens each for a total of 17,620 serum samples tested during 1999 (Table 2).

HIV seroprevalence varied by region, from a high of 9.4 percent in San Francisco to a low of zero positives in the South Valley (Table 1, Figure 1). The seroprevalence for San Francisco, San Diego, Los Angeles, North Valley, Bay Area and Long Beach regions showed an increase from 1998. However, the seroprevalence for Central Valley decreased and South Valley remained the same. Among selected counties the highest percent increase between 1998 and 1999 was in Sacramento County.

Men represented 66.7 percent (11,753) of the total STD population of which 4.9 percent (575) were HIV seropositive, compared to 3.5 percent in 1998 (Table 3). Women represented 33.1 percent (5,831) of the total STD population of which 0.4 percent (25) were HIV seropositive, a decrease from 0.5 percent in 1998. The highest seroprevalence

¹ Fresno, Kern, Los Angeles, Sacramento, San Bernardino, San Diego, San Francisco, San Joaquin, Santa Clara, Long Beach, and Berkeley.

² All 1998 data from: State of California, Department of Health Services, Office of AIDS, HIV/AIDS Epidemiology Branch; California HIV Seroprevalence Annual Report 1998, August 2000.

(27.0 percent) was among men who reported sex with men who injected drugs, compared to 19.0 percent in 1998 (an increase of 42.1 percent). Men who have sex with men showed a prevalence of 19.1 percent, up from 16.5 percent in 1998. Among Heterosexuals, men showed a seroprevalence of 0.8 percent, and women showed a seroprevalence of 0.4 percent, both remaining the same compared to 1998.

In 1999, 37.0 percent (6,527) of the specimens tested in the STD clinics were drawn from Black clients; 34.9 percent (6,146) from Hispanics; 21.4 percent (3,776) from Whites; and 4.2 percent (735) from Asian/Pacific Islanders (Table 4, Figure 2). Seroprevalence for White men (9.9 percent) increased compared to 1998 (6.3 percent), however White women remained at 0.4 percent. Among Black men the seroprevalence showed an increase from 2.8 percent in 1998 to 3.3 percent in 1999. Black women showed a decrease from 0.9 percent in 1998 to 0.6 percent in 1999. The seroprevalence for both Hispanic men (3.2 percent) and Hispanic women (0.2 percent) decreased compared to 1998 (2.6 and 0.1 percent, respectively). The seroprevalence for Asian/Pacific Islander men (3.6 percent) and women (0.3 percent) decreased from 1998 (2.0 and 0.0 percent, respectively).

Age group 35-39 had the highest seroprevalence (9.8 percent) and represented 13.8 percent of men attending STD clinics (Table 5, Figure 3). Among women the seroprevalence for age group 40-44 was the highest (0.9 percent), and represented 7.8 percent of women attending STD clinics.

Table 6 and Figure 4 present HIV seroprevalence for men who have sex with men (MSM) and MSM who have a history of injection drug use (IDU) attending STD clinics by race/ethnicity. In 1999, HIV seroprevalence ranged from a high of 30.1 (84/279) percent among Black men to a low of 7.4 (7/94) percent among Asian/Pacific Islander men. By age group the highest seroprevalence (31.4 percent) was among age group 40-44 (Table 7).

Tables 8, 9 and Figure 5 present HIV seroprevalence for heterosexual males and females attending STD clinics by race/ethnicity. In 1999, the highest HIV seroprevalence was for Asian/Pacific Islander males (1.4 percent) and Black females (0.6 percent).

The highest seroprevalence (1.7 percent) among heterosexual males was in age group 35-39 (Table 10 and Figure 6). Among women, seroprevalence was 0.9 percent for age groups 35-39 and 40-44 (Table 11).

Tables 12 through 22 present seroprevalence data from the sentinel sites by risk behavior, race/ethnicity and age group.

Table 1.

HIV Seroprevalence Among Persons

Attending Sexually Transmitted Disease (STD)¹ Clinics

By California Regions

1998 – 1999

Dogiono ²	Number Number Tested Positive ³ 1999 1999		Prevale	nce (%)	Percent
Regions ²			1998	1999	Change 1998 to 1999
San Francisco ⁴	4,343	409	7.2	9.4	30.6
San Diego⁵	498	19	2.4	3.8	58.3
Los Angeles	9,187	146	1.3	1.6	23.1
North Valley⁵	470	7	0.6	1.5	150.0
Bay Area⁵	576	8	8.0	1.4	75.0
Long Beach⁵	551	6	1.0	1.1	10.0
Central Valley⁵	1,504	6	0.7	0.4	- 42.9
South Valley⁵	491	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	17,620	601	2.4	3.4	41.7

These unlinked (blinded) surveys were drawn from blood specimens collected for routine syphilis screening. Specimens were collected consecutively and tested for HIV after all personal identifiers were removed.

Note: Region totals include unknown gender and may not agree with individual County/City totals (Tables 12-22).


² North Valley= Sacramento. Bay Area= City of Berkeley and Santa Clara. Central Valley= Fresno, Kern, and San Joaquin. South Valley= San Bernardino.

³ All positive specimens were repeatedly reactive by Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) and confirmed by a Western blot or Immunofluorescence Assay (IFA).

⁴ Data collection for July – December 1999.

⁵ Data collection for January - June 1999.

Figure 1. HIV Seroprevalence Among Persons Attending STD Clinics by Region (Selected Counties and Cities), 1998 - 1999



Note: South Valley = San Bernardino County. North Valley = Sacramento. Central Valley = Fresno, Kern, and San Joaquin Counties.

Bay Area = City of Berkeley and Santa Clara County.

Table 2.

HIV Seroprevalence Among Persons

Attending Sexually Transmitted Disease (STD)¹ Clinics

By Selected California Counties and Cities

1998 - 1999

Selected Counties and	Number Tested	Number Positive ²	Prevale	Percent	
Counties and Cities	1999	1999	1998	1999	Change 1998 to 1999
Fresno ³	508	2	0.4	0.4	0.0
Kern ³	496	2	0.6	0.4	-33.3
Los Angeles	9,187	146	1.3	1.6	23.1
Sacramento ³	470	7	0.6	1.5	150.0
San Bernardino ³	491	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
San Diego ³	498	19	2.4	3.8	58.3
San Francisco ⁴	4,343	409	7.2	9.4	30.6
San Joaquin³	500	2	1.0	0.4	-60.0
Santa Clara ³	109	1	0.0	0.9	а
Long Beach ³	551	6	1.0	1.1	10.0
Berkeley ³	467	7	1.4	1.5	7.1
Total	17,620	601	2.4	3.4	41.7

¹ These unlinked (blinded) surveys were drawn from blood specimens collected for routine syphilis screening. Specimens were collected consecutively and tested for HIV after all personal identifiers were removed.

Note: County and City totals include unknown gender and may not agree with individual County/City totals (Tables 12-22).

² All positive specimens were repeatedly reactive by Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) and confirmed by a Western blot or Immunofluorescence Assay (IFA).

³ Data collection for January – June 1999.

⁴ Data collection for July – December 1999.

^a Not applicable.

Table 3.

HIV Seroprevalence Among Persons

Attending Sexually Transmitted Disease (STD)¹ Clinics

by Gender and Risk Behavior Category

1998 - 1999

Gender and	Number	Number	Prevale	nce (%)	Percent Change
Risk Behavior	Tested 1999	Positive ² 1999	1998	1999	1998 to 1999
MALE					
MSM	1,993	380	16.5	19.1	15.8
MSM/IDU ³	100	27	19.0	27.0	42.1
Heterosexual	8,979	69	0.8	8.0	0.0
Heterosexual, IDU	193	4	5.5	2.1	-61.8
Other ⁴	24	6	а	25.0	а
Unknown	464	89	13.2	19.2	45.5
Sub-Total MALE	11,753	575	3.5	4.9	40.0
FEMALE					
Heterosexual	5,417	23	0.4	0.4	0.0
Heterosexual, IDU	107	1	1.8	0.9	-50.0
Other ⁴	105	0	1.0	0.0	-100.0
Unknown	202	1	0.0	0.5	b
Sub-Total FEMALE	5,831	25	0.5	0.4	-20.0
Missing Gender	36	1	а	а	а
Total	17,620	601	2.4	3.4	41.7

¹ These unlinked (blinded) surveys were drawn from blood specimens collected for routine syphilis screening. Specimens were collected consecutively and tested for HIV after all personal identifiers were removed.

² All positive specimens were repeatedly reactive by Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) and confirmed by a Western blot or Immunofluorescence Assay (IFA).

³ Includes men who have sex with men (MSM) and bisexual men who have a history of injection drug use (IDU).

⁴ Other includes Lesbian women and the following groups if they did not identify sex partner/s by gender: IDU, sex partner of IDU, sex partner of person with HIV/AIDS, exchanged money or drugs for sex.

Not calculated for fewer than 100 tested and number positive less than or equal to 3.

Not applicable.

Table 4. **HIV Seroprevalence Among Persons** Attending Sexually Transmitted Disease (STD)¹ Clinics **Selected California Counties and Cities** by Gender and Race/Ethnicity

1998 - 1999

Gender and	Number	Number	Prevale	nce (%)	Percent	
Race/Ethnicity	Tested 1999			1999	Change 1998 –1999	
MALE						
White	2,831	279	6.3	9.9	57.1	
Black	4,216	138	2.8	3.3	17.9	
Hispanic	4,042	128	2.6	3.2	23.1	
Asian/Pacific Islander	412	15	2.0	3.6	80.0	
American Indian/Alaskan Native	32	2	13.3	а	а	
Other	122	5	2.6	4.1	57.7	
Unknown	98	8	4.5	8.2	82.2	
Sub-Total MALE	11,753	575	3.5	4.9	40.0	
FEMALE						
White	945	4	0.4	0.4	0.0	
Black	2,311	15	0.9	0.6	-33.3	
Hispanic	2,104	4	0.1	0.2	100.0	
Asian/Pacific Islander	323	1	0.0	0.3	b	
American Indian/Alaskan Native	22	1	а	а	а	
Other	67	0	а	а	а	
Unknown	59	0	а	а	а	
Sub-Total FEMALE	5,831	25	0.5	0.4	-20.0	
Missing Gender	36	1	а	а	а	
Total	17,620	601	2.4	3.4	41.7	


¹ These unlinked (blinded) surveys were drawn from blood specimens collected for routine syphilis screening. Specimens were collected consecutively and tested for HIV after all personal identifiers were removed.

All positive specimens were repeatedly reactive by Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) and confirmed by a Western blot or

Immunofluorescence Assay (IFA).

a Not calculated for fewer than 100 tested and number positive less than or equal to 3.

Figure 2. HIV Seroprevalence Among Persons Attending STD Clinics in Selected California Counties and Cities by Gender and Race/Ethnicity, 1999



Note: Excludes American Indian/Alaskan Native women (not calculated for fewer than 100 tested and number positive less than or equal to 3).

Table 5.
HIV Seroprevalence Among Persons
Attending Sexually Transmitted Disease (STD)¹ Clinics
Selected California Counties and Cities
by Gender and Age Group
1998 – 1999

Gender and	Number	Number	Prevale	nce (%)	Percent
Age Group	Tested 1999	Positive ² 1999	1998	1999	Change 1998 to 1999
MALE					
14 and Under	12	0	а	а	а
15 – 19	759	1	b	0.1	С
20 – 24	2,334	21	b	0.9	С
25 – 29	2,470	57	b	2.3	С
30 – 34	2,069	121	b	5.8	С
35 – 39	1,619	158	b	9.8	С
40 – 44	1,070	100	b	9.3	С
45 and Over	1,365	115	5.2	8.4	61.5
Unknown	55	2	а	а	а
Sub-Total MALE	11,753	575	3.5	4.9	40.0
FEMALE					
14 and Under	46	0	а	а	а
15 – 19	959	2	b	0.2	С
20 – 24	1,433	2	b	0.1	С
25 – 29	1,071	7	b	0.7	С
30 – 34	733	3	b	0.4	С
35 – 39	595	5	b	0.8	С
40 – 44	456	4	b	0.9	С
45 and Over	497	2	0.5	0.4	-0.5
Unknown	41	0	а	а	а
Sub-Total FEMALE	5,831	25	0.5	0.4	-20.0
Missing Gender	36	1	а	а	а
Total	17,620	601	2.4	3.4	41.7

¹ These unlinked (blinded) surveys were drawn from blood specimens collected for routine screening. Specimens were collected consecutively and tested for HIV after all personal identifiers were removed.


All positive specimens were repeatedly reactive by Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) and confirmed by a Western blot or Immunofluorescence Assay (IFA).

^a Not calculated for fewer than 100 tested and number positive less than or equal to 3.

b Not available.

^C Not applicable.

Figure 3. HIV Seroprevalence Among Persons Attending STD Clinics in Selected California Counties and Cities by Gender and Age Group, 1999



Note: Excludes Age Groups 14 and Under and Unknown age group (not calculated for fewer than 100 tested and number positive less than or equal to 3).

Table 6.

HIV Seroprevalence for MSM¹

Attending Sexually Transmitted Disease (STD)² Clinics

Selected California Counties and Cities

by Race/Ethnicity

1998 - 1999

Dogo/Ethanicity	Number Tested	Number Positive ³	Prevale	nce (%)	Percent
Race/Ethnicity	1999	1999	1998	1999	Change 1998 - 1999
White	1,130	223	15.7	19.7	25.5
Black	279	84	26.6	30.1	13.2
Hispanic	547	85	14.7	15.5	5.4
Asian/Pacific Islander	94	7	6.0	7.4	23.3
American Indian/Alaskan Native	8	2	36.4	а	а
None of the Above ⁴	35	6	16.1	17.1	6.2
Total	2,093	407	16.6	19.4	16.9

¹ Includes men who have sex with men (MSM) and MSM who have a history of injection drug use (IDU).

²These unlinked (blinded) surveys were drawn from blood specimens collected for routine syphilis screening. Specimens were collected consecutively and tested for HIV after all personal identifiers were removed.


³ All positive specimens were repeatedly reactive by Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) and confirmed by a Western blot or Immunofluorescence Assay (IFA).

⁴ Includes other race, unknown race.

^a Not calculated for fewer than 100 tested and number positive less than or equal to 3.

Н

Figure 4. HIV Seroprevalence Among MSM Attending STD Clincics in Selected California Counties and Cities by Race/Ethnicity, 1998 - 1999



Note: Excludes 1999 American Indian/Alaskan Native men (not calculated for fewer than 100 tested and number positive less than or equal to 3).

Table 7.

HIV Seroprevalence for MSM¹

Attending Sexually Transmitted Disease (STD)² Clinics

Selected California Counties and Cities

by Age Group

1998 - 1999

A O	Number			nce (%)	Percent	
Age Group	Tested 1999	Positive ³ 1999	1998	1999	Change 1998 to 1999	
			_	_	_	
14 and Under	а	0	b	b	b	
15-19	59	0	С	b	d	
20-24	243	14	С	5.8	d	
25-29	361	35	С	9.7	d	
30-34	489	87	С	17.8	d	
35-39	402	114	С	28.4	d	
40-44	239	75	С	31.4	d	
45 and Over	293	81	19.3	27.6	43.0	
Unknown	5	1	С	b	d	
Total	2,093	407	16.6	19.4	16.9	

¹Includes men who have sex with men (MSM) and MSM men who have a history of injection drug use (IDU).

²These unlinked (blinded) surveys were drawn from blood specimens collected for routine syphilis screening. Specimens were collected consecutively and tested for HIV after all personal identifiers were removed.

³All positive specimens were repeatedly reactive by Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) and confirmed by a Western blot or Immunofluorescence Assay (IFA).

aLess than 5.

^bNot calculated for fewer than 100 tested and number positive less than or equal to 3.

^CNot available.

^dNot applicable.

Table 8.

HIV Seroprevalence for Heterosexual Males¹

Attending Sexually Transmitted Disease (STD)² Clinics

Selected California Counties and Cities

by Race/Ethnicity

1998 - 1999

De ee /Ethenieite	Number	Number	Prevale	nce (%)	Percent Change 1998 -1999
Race/Ethnicity	Tested 1999	Positive ³ 1999	1998	1999	
White	1,516	8	0.4	0.5	25.0
Black	3,837	39	1.2	1.0	-16.7
Hispanic	3,372	21	0.7	0.6	-14.3
Asian/Pacific Islander	286	4	0.0	1.4	а
American Indian/Alaskan Native	22	0	b	b	b
None of the Above ⁴	139	1	0.0	0.7	а
Total	9,172	73	0.9	0.8	-11.1

¹ Includes men who have a history of injection drug use (IDU).

²These unlinked (blinded) surveys were drawn from blood specimens collected for routine syphilis screening. Specimens were collected consecutively and tested for HIV after all personal identifiers were removed.

³ All positive specimens were repeatedly reactive by Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) and confirmed by a Western blot or Immunofluorescence Assay (IFA).

⁴ Includes other race, unknown race.

^aNot applicable.

^b Not calculated for fewer than 100 tested and number positive less than or equal to 3.

Table 9.

HIV Seroprevalence for Heterosexual Females¹

Attending Sexually Transmitted Disease (STD)² Clinics

Selected California Counties and Cities

by Race/Ethnicity

1998 - 1999

De ee/Etherieite	Number	Number	Prevale	nce (%)	Percent Change 1998 - 1999
Race/Ethnicity	Tested 1999	Positive ³ 1999	1998	1999	
White	870	4	0.4	0.5	25.0
Black	2,213	14	0.9	0.6	-33.0
Hispanic	2,035	4	0.1	0.2	100.0
Asian/Pacific Islander	280	1	0.0	0.4	а
American Indian/Alaskan Native	21	1	b	b	b
None of the Above ⁴	105	0	b	0.0	b
Total	5,524	24	0.5	0.4	-20.0

¹ Includes women who have a history of injection drug use (IDU).

²These unlinked (blinded) surveys were drawn from blood specimens collected for routine syphilis screening. Specimens were collected consecutively and tested for HIV after all personal identifiers were removed.


³ All positive specimens were repeatedly reactive by Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) and confirmed by a Western blot or Immunofluorescence Assay (IFA).

⁴ Includes other race, unknown race.

^aNot applicable.

^b Not calculated for fewer than 100 tested and number positive less than or equal to 3.

Figure 5. HIV Seroprevalence Among Heterosexuals Attending STD Clinics in Selected California Counties and Cities by Gender and Race/Ethnicity, 1999



Note: Excludes American Indian/Alaskan Native race/ethnicity (not calculated for fewer than 100 tested and number positive less than or equal to 3).

Table 10.

HIV Seroprevalence for Heterosexual Males¹

Attending Sexually Transmitted Disease (STD)² Clinics

Selected California Counties and Cities

by Age Group

1998 - 1999

A O	Number	Number	Prevale	nce (%)	Percent	
Age Group	Tested 1999			1999	Change 1998 to 1999	
14 and Under	9	0	а	а	а	
15-19	686	1	b	0.1	С	
20-24	2,026	4	b	0.2	С	
25-29	2,012	9	b	0.4	С	
30-34	1,483	12	b	8.0	С	
35-39	1,146	20	b	1.7	С	
40-44	780	12	b	1.5	С	
45 and Over	990	15	1.6	1.5	-6.3	
Unknown	40	0	b	а	С	
Total	9,172	73	0.9	8.0	-11.1	

¹Includes men who have a history of injection drug use (IDU).

²These unlinked (blinded) surveys were drawn from blood specimens collected for routine syphilis screening. Specimens were collected consecutively and tested for HIV after all personal identifiers were removed.

³All positive specimens were repeatedly reactive by Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) and confirmed by a Western blot or Immunofluorescence Assay (IFA).

^aNot calculated for fewer than 100 tested and number positive less than or equal to 3.

^bNot available.

^cNot applicable.

Table 11.

HIV Seroprevalence for Heterosexual Females¹

Attending Sexually Transmitted Disease (STD)² Clinics

Selected California Counties and Cities

by Age Group

1998 - 1999

A O	Number	Number	Prevale	nce (%)	Percent Change 1998 to 1999	
Age Group	Tested 1999	Positive ³ 1999	1998	1999		
14 and Under	43	0	а	а	а	
15-19	923	2	b	0.2	С	
20-24	1,364	2	b	0.1	С	
25-29	1,002	6	b	0.6	c	
30-34	700	3	b	0.4	c	
35-39	556	5	b	0.9	С	
40-44	428	4	b	0.9	c	
45 and Over	475	2	0.5	0.4	-20.0	
Unknown	33	0	b	а	c	
Total	5,524	24	0.5	0.4	-20.0	

¹Includes women who have a history of injection drug use (IDU).

²These unlinked (blinded) surveys were drawn from blood specimens collected for routine syphilis screening. Specimens were collected consecutively and tested for HIV after all personal identifiers were removed.


³All positive specimens were repeatedly reactive by Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) and confirmed by a Western blot or Immunofluorescence Assay (IFA).

^aNot calculated for fewer than 100 tested and number positive less than or equal to 3.

^bNot available.

^cNot applicable.

Figure 6. HIV Seroprevalence Among Heterosexuals Attending STD Clinics in Selected California Counties and Cities by Gender and Age Group, 1999



Note: Excludes Age Groups 14 and Under and Unknown age group (not calculated for fewer than 100 tested and number positive less than or equal to 3).

Table 12.

HIV Seroprevalence Among Persons Attending Sexually Transmitted Disease (STD) Clinics by Risk Behavior, Race/Ethnicity, and Age Group Category

Fresno County, January – June 1999

Risk Behavior/ Demographic Categories		Males			Females		
		Number	Number	Prevalence	Number	Number	Prevalence
		Tested	Positive ²	(%)	Tested	Positive ²	(%)
Risk	MSM	12	0	а	b	b	b
Behavior	MSM, IDU	0	0	а	b	b	b
	Heterosexual	308	1	0.3	172	1	0.6
	Heterosexual, IDU	С	0	а	С	0	а
	Other	0	0	а	7	0	а
	Unknown	С	0	а	С	0	а
Race/Ethnicit	White	37	0	а	27	0	а
	Black	72	1	а	44	0	а
	Hispanic	184	0	0.0	98	1	1.0
	Asian/Pacific Islander	23	0	а	10	0	а
	American Ind/Alsk	С	0	а	С	0	а
	Other	С	0	а	0	0	а
	Unknown	С	0	а	С	0	а
Age Group	14 and Under	С	0	а	С	0	а
	15-19	44	0	а	20	0	а
	20-24	66	0	а	46	0	а
	25-29	49	0	а	31	1	а
	30-34	58	0	а	25	0	а
	35-39	39	0	а	23	0	а
	40-44	26	0	а	16	0	а
	45 and Over	31	1	а	12	0	а
	Unknown	10	0	а	7	0	а
Total		323	1	0.3	183	1	0.5

¹These unlinked (blinded) surveys were drawn from blood specimens collected for routine syphilis screening. Specimens were collected consecutively and tested for HIV after all personal identifiers were removed.

²All positive specimens were repeatedly reactive by Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) and confirmed by a Western blot or Immunofluorescence Assay (IFA).

^a Not calculated for fewer than 100 tested and number positive less than or equal to 3.

^b Not applicable.

^c Less than 5.

Table 13.

HIV Seroprevalence Among Persons Attending Sexually Transmitted Disease (STD) Clinics by Risk Behavior, Race/Ethnicity, and Age Group Category

Kern County, January – June 1999

Demographic Categories		Males			Females		
		Number	Number	Prevalence	Number	Number	Prevalence
		Tested	Positive ²	(%)	Tested	Positive ²	(%)
Risk	MSM	24	2	а	b	b	b
Behavior	MSM, IDU	С	0	а	b	b	b
	Heterosexual	252	0	0.0	189	0	0.0
	Heterosexual, IDU	7	0	а	5	0	а
	Other	С	0	а	11	0	0.0
	Unknown	0	0	а	С	0	а
Race/Ethnicit	White	80	0	а	57	0	а
	Black	78	1	а	50	0	а
	Hispanic	121	1	0.8	89	0	а
	Asian/Pacific Islander	С	0	а	С	0	а
	American Ind/Alsk	0	0	а	0	0	а
	Other	С	0	а	С	0	а
	Unknown	С	0	а	5	0	а
Age Group	14 and Under	С	0	а	14	0	а
	15-19	71	0	а	74	0	а
	20-24	64	0	а	31	0	а
	25-29	36	0	а	32	0	а
	30-34	37	1	а	14	0	а
	35-39	29	0	а	10	0	а
	40-44	23	1	а	9	0	а
	45 and Over	22	0	а	17	0	а
	Unknown	С	0	а	5	0	а
Total		285	2	0.7	206	0	0.0

¹These unlinked (blinded) surveys were drawn from blood specimens collected for routine syphilis screening. Specimens were collected consecutively and tested for HIV after all personal identifiers were removed.

²All positive specimens were repeatedly reactive by Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) and confirmed by a Western blot or Immunofluorescence Assay (IFA).

^a Not calculated for fewer than 100 tested and number positive less than or equal to 3.

^b Not applicable.

^c Less than 5.

Table 14.

HIV Seroprevalence Among Persons Attending Sexually Transmitted Disease (STD) Clinics by Risk Behavior, Race/Ethnicity, and Age Group Category

Los Angeles County, January – December 1999

Risk Behavior/ Demographic Categories		Males			Females		
		Number	Number	Prevalence	Number	Number	Prevalence
		Tested	Positive ²	(%)	Tested	Positive ²	(%)
Risk	MSM	509	81	15.9	а	а	а
Behavior	MSM, IDU	30	4	13.3	а	а	а
	Heterosexual	5,138	41	0.8	3,273	12	0.4
	Heterosexual, IDU	95	2	b	43	0	b
	Lesbian	а	а	а	14	0	b
	Other	0	0	b	0	0	b
	Unknown	57	6	10.5	28	0	b
Race/Ethnicit	White	438	24	5.5	170	1	0.6
	Black	2,787	44	1.6	1,639	9	0.5
	Hispanic	2,398	52	2.2	1,398	1	0.1
	Asian/Pacific Islander	79	2	b	76	1	b
	American Ind/Alsk	8	2	b	11	0	b
	Other	47	4	8.5	27	0	b
	Unknown	72	6	8.3	37	0	b
Age Group	14 and Under	8	0	b	14	0	b
	15-19	407	1	0.2	567	1	0.2
	20-24	1,307	10	0.8	808	1	0.1
	25-29	1,240	22	1.8	581	1	0.2
	30-34	976	31	3.2	416	1	0.2
	35-39	752	30	4.0	363	4	1.1
	40-44	497	21	4.2	277	3	1.1
	45 and Over	616	17	2.8	317	1	0.3
	Unknown	26	2	b	15	0	b
Total		5,829	134	2.3	3,358	12	0.4

¹These unlinked (blinded) surveys were drawn from blood specimens collected for routine syphilis screening. Specimens were collected consecutively and tested for HIV after all personal identifiers were removed.

²All positive specimens were repeatedly reactive by Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) and confirmed by a Western blot or Immunofluorescence Assay (IFA).

a Not applicable.

^bNot calculated for fewer than 100 tested and number positive less than or equal to 3.

Table 15.

HIV Seroprevalence Among Persons Attending Sexually Transmitted Disease (STD) Clinics by Risk Behavior, Race/Ethnicity, and Age Group Category

Sacramento County, January – June 1999

Risk Behavior/			Males		Females		
Demographic C		Number	Number	Prevalence	Number	Number	Prevalence
		Tested	Positive ²	(%)	Tested	Positive ²	(%)
Risk	MSM	9	1	а	b	b	b
Behavior	MSM, IDU	С	0	а	b	b	b
	Heterosexual	174	2	1.1	189	3	1.6
	Heterosexual, IDU	5	0	а	С	0	а
	Other	С	0	а	12	0	а
	Unknown	26	0	а	26	0	а
Race/Ethnicit	White	75	2	а	58	1	а
	Black	84	1	а	80	2	а
	Hispanic	42	0	а	74	0	а
	Asian/Pacific Islander	11	0	а	7	0	а
	American Ind/Alsk	0	0	а	С	0	а
	Other	5	0	а	5	0	а
	Unknown	0	0	а	5	0	а
Age Group	14 and Under	С	0	а	5	0	а
	15-19	24	0	а	47	0	а
	20-24	72	1	а	41	1	а
	25-29	31	0	а	42	0	а
	30-34	29	0	а	34	1	а
	35-39	19	1	а	26	1	а
	40-44	13	0	а	13	0	а
	45 and Over	20	1	а	13	0	а
	Unknown	9	0	а	9	0	а
Total		217	3	1.4	230	3	1.3

¹These unlinked (blinded) surveys were drawn from blood specimens collected for routine syphilis screening. Specimens were collected consecutively and tested for HIV after all personal identifiers were removed.

²All positive specimens were repeatedly reactive by Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) and confirmed by a Western blot or Immunofluorescence Assay (IFA).

^a Not calculated for fewer than 100 tested and number positive less than or equal to 3.

^b Not applicable.

^c Less than 5.

Table 16.
HIV Seroprevalence Among Persons Attending Sexually Transmitted Disease(STD) Clinics by Risk Behavior, Race/Ethnicity, and Age Group Category
San Bernardino County, January – June 1999

Risk Behavior/			Males		Females		
Demographic (Number	Number	Prevalence	Number	Number	Prevalence
		Tested	Positive ²	(%)	Tested	Positive ²	(%)
Risk	MSM	17	0	а	b	b	b
Behavior	MSM, IDU	С	0	а	b	b	b
	Heterosexual	247	0	0.0	196	0	0.0
	Heterosexual, IDU	10	0	а	С	0	а
	Other	0	0	а	6	0	а
	Unknown	6	0	а	3	0	а
Race/Ethnicit	White	88	0	а	71	0	а
	Black	78	0	а	39	0	а
	Hispanic	99	0	а	74	0	а
	Asian/Pacific Islander	С	0	а	14	0	а
	American Ind/Alsk	1	0	а	С	0	а
	Other	11	0	а	10	0	а
	Unknown	С	0	а	С	0	а
Age Group	14 and Under	С	0	а	С	0	а
	15-19	44	0	а	49	0	а
	20-24	72	0	а	54	0	а
	25-29	55	0	а	30	0	а
	30-34	31	0	а	20	0	а
	35-39	36	0	а	17	0	а
	40-44	17	0	а	19	0	а
	45 and Over	22	0	а	16	0	а
	Unknown	С	0	а	С	0	а
Total		281	0	0.0	209	0	0.0

¹These unlinked (blinded) surveys were drawn from blood specimens collected for routine syphilis screening. Specimens were collected consecutively and tested for HIV after all personal identifiers were removed.

²All positive specimens were repeatedly reactive by Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) and confirmed by a Western blot or Immunofluorescence Assay (IFA).

^a Not calculated for fewer than 100 tested and number positive less than or equal to 3.

^b Not applicable.

^c Less than 5.

Table 17.

HIV Seroprevalence Among Persons Attending Sexually Transmitted Disease (STD) Clinics by Risk Behavior, Race/Ethnicity, and Age Group Category

San Diego County, January – June 1999

Dick Pobovior/	Risk Behavior/		Males		Females		
Demographic Categories		Number Tested	Number Positive ²	Prevalence	Number Tested	Number Positive ²	Prevalence
Risk	MSM	41	12	(%) 29.3	a	a	(%) a
Behavior	MSM, IDU	b	0	c	a	a	a
Bellavioi	Heterosexual	292	7	2.4	155	0	0.0
	Heterosexual, IDU	b	0	c	0	0	С
	Other	0	0	c	b	0	c
	Unknown	b	0	С	5	0	c
Race/Ethnicit	White	148	8	5.4	67	0	С
race/Ethilicit	Black	82	6	7.3	26	0	c
		78	4	5.1	45	0	c
	Hispanic Asian/Pacific Islander	8	1	C	13	0	c
	Asian/Pacific Islander American Ind/Alsk	b	0	C	b	0	c
	Other	8	0	C	5	0	c
	Unknown	11	0	c	5	0	c
A ma Craun	14 and Under	b	0	С	b	0	С
Age Group	14 and Under 15-19	6	0	C	14	0	c
	20-24	53	0	C	51	0	c
	25-29	89	2	C	18	0	c
	30-34	60	5	8.3	29	0	c
	35-39	44	6	13.6	15	0	c
	40-44	39	4	10.3	13	0	c
	45 and Over	44	2	c	22	0	c
	Unknown	b	0	c	b	0	c
Total		336	19	5.7	162	0	0.0

¹These unlinked (blinded) surveys were drawn from blood specimens collected for routine syphilis screening. Specimens were collected consecutively and tested for HIV after all personal identifiers were removed.

²All positive specimens were repeatedly reactive by Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) and confirmed by a Western blot or Immunofluorescence Assay (IFA).

^aNot applicable.

bLess than 5.

^cNot calculated for fewer than 100 tested and number positive less than or equal to 3.

Table 18.

HIV Seroprevalence Among Persons Attending Sexually Transmitted Disease (STD) Clinics by Risk Behavior, Race/Ethnicity, and Age Group Category

San Francisco County, July – December 1999

Risk Behavior/			Males		Females		
	Demographic Categories		Number	Prevalence	Number	Number	Prevalence
· ·		Tested	Positive ²	(%)	Tested	Positive ²	(%)
Risk	MSM	1,296	276	21.3	а	а	а
Behavior	MSM, IDU	57	23	40.4	а	а	а
	Heterosexual	1,604	12	0.7	758	6	0.8
	Heterosexual, IDU	54	1	b	36	1	b
	Other	20	6	30.0	29	0	b
	Unknown	359	83	23.1	130	1	0.8
Race/Ethnicit	White	1,676	240	14.3	340	2	0.6
	Black	695	78	11.2	247	3	1.2
	Hispanic	751	69	9.2	202	2	1.0
	Asian/Pacific Islander	224	11	4.9	151	0	0.0
	American Ind/Alsk	18	0	b	С	1	b
	Other	18	1	b	7	0	b
	Unknown	8	2	b	С	0	b
Age Group	14 and Under	0	0	b	0	0	b
	15-19	69	0	b	96	0	b
	20-24	428	9	2.1	274	0	b
	25-29	747	32	4.3	239	5	2.1
	30-34	726	82	11.3	126	1	0.8
	35-39	568	114	20.1	85	0	b
	40-44	366	73	19.9	73	1	b
	45 and Over	485	91	18.8	58	1	b
	Unknown	С	0	а	С	0	а
Total		3,390	401	11.8	953	8	0.8

¹These unlinked (blinded) surveys were drawn from blood specimens collected for routine syphilis screening on individuals seeking evaluation, examination, and/or for a new STD episode. Specimens were collected consecutively and tested for HIV after all personal identifiers were removed.

²All positive specimens were repeatedly reactive by Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) and confirmed by a Western blot or Immunofluorescence Assay (IFA).

^aNot applicable.

^bNot calculated for fewer than 100 tested and number positive less than or equal to 3.

^c Less than 5.

Table 19.

HIV Seroprevalence Among Persons Attending Sexually Transmitted Disease (STD) Clinics by Risk Behavior, Race/Ethnicity, and Age Group Category

San Joaquin County, January – June 1999

Risk Behavior/			Males		Females		
Demographic C		Number	Number	Prevalence	Number	Number	Prevalence
		Tested	Positive ²	(%)	Tested	Positive ²	(%)
Risk	MSM	15	0	а	b	b	b
Behavior	MSM, IDU	0	0	а	b	b	b
	Heterosexual	351	2	0.6	111	0	0.0
	Heterosexual, IDU	С	0	а	С	0	а
	Other	С	0	а	8	0	а
	Unknown	С	0	а	С	0	а
Race/Ethnicit	White	76	0	а	32	0	а
	Black	92	2	а	42	0	а
	Hispanic	184	0	0.0	43	0	а
	Asian/Pacific Islander	14	0	а	6	0	а
	American Ind/Alsk	С	0	а	0	0	а
	Other	8	0	а	С	0	а
	Unknown	0	0	а	0	0	а
Age Group	14 and Under	С	0	а	С	0	а
_	15-19	36	0	а	22	0	а
	20-24	98	0	а	21	0	а
	25-29	67	0	а	20	0	а
	30-34	54	0	а	19	0	а
	35-39	45	1	а	19	0	а
	40-44	25	0	а	8	0	а
	45 and Over	48	1	а	12	0	а
	Unknown	0	0	а	0	0	а
Total		375	2	0.5	124	0	0.0

¹These unlinked (blinded) surveys were drawn from blood specimens collected for routine syphilis screening. Specimens were collected consecutively and tested for HIV after all personal identifiers were removed.

²All positive specimens were repeatedly reactive by Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) and confirmed by a Western blot or Immunofluorescence Assay (IFA).

^aNot calculated for fewer than 100 tested and number positive less than or equal to 3.

^bNot applicable.

^c Less than 5.

Table 20.

HIV Seroprevalence Among Persons Attending Sexually Transmitted Disease (STD) Clinics by Risk Behavior, Race/Ethnicity, and Age Group Category Santa Clara County, January – June 1999

Risk Behavior/		Males			Females		
Demographic (Number	Number	Prevalence	Number	Number	Prevalence
		Tested	Positive ²	(%)	Tested	Positive ²	(%)
Risk	MSM	6	0	а	b	b	b
Behavior	MSM, IDU	0	0	а	b	b	b
	Heterosexual	89	1	а	13	0	а
	Heterosexual, IDU	0	0	а	0	0	а
	Other	0	0	а	0	0	а
	Unknown	С	0	а	0	0	а
Race/Ethnicit	White	29	0	а	6	0	а
	Black	14	0	а	0	0	а
	Hispanic	46	1	а	С	0	а
	Asian/Pacific Islander	6	0	а	С	0	а
	American Ind/Alsk	С	0	а	0	0	а
	Other	0	0	а	0	0	а
	Unknown	0	0	а	0	0	а
Age Group	14 and Under	0	0	а	0	0	а
	15-19	7	0	а	С	0	а
	20-24	16	0	а	С	0	а
	25-29	28	0	а	С	0	а
	30-34	14	0	а	С	0	а
	35-39	10	1	а	С	0	а
	40-44	14	0	а	С	0	а
	45 and Over	7	0	а	С	0	а
	Unknown	0	0	а	0	0	а
Total		96	1	а	13	0	а

¹These unlinked (blinded) surveys were drawn from blood specimens collected for routine syphilis screening. Specimens were collected consecutively and tested for HIV after all personal identifiers were removed.

²All positive specimens were repeatedly reactive by Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) and confirmed by a Western blot or Immunofluorescence Assay (IFA).

^aNot calculated for fewer than 100 tested and number positive less than or equal to 3.

^bNot applicable.

^c Less than 5.

Table 21.

HIV Seroprevalence Among Persons Attending Sexually Transmitted Disease (STD) Clinics by Risk Behavior, Race/Ethnicity, and Age Group Category

Long Beach City, January – June 1999

Risk Behavior/			Males		Females		
Demographic (Number	Number	Prevalence	Number	Number	Prevalence
· ·		Tested	Positive ²	(%)	Tested	Positive ²	(%)
Risk	MSM	35	3	а	b	b	b
Behavior	MSM, IDU	С	0	а	b	b	b
	Heterosexual	292	1	0.3	188	1	0.5
	Heterosexual, IDU	14	1	а	5	0	а
	Other	0	0	а	13	0	а
	Unknown	0	0	а	0	0	а
Race/Ethnicit	White	79	3	а	47	0	а
	Black	125	2	1.6	78	1	а
	Hispanic	105	0	0.0	52	0	а
	Asian/Pacific Islander	30	0	а	24	0	а
	American Ind/Alsk	С	0	а	С	0	а
	Other	5	0	а	С	0	а
	Unknown	0	0	а	0	0	а
Age Group	14 and Under	0	0	а	С	0	а
	15-19	35	0	а	46	1	а
	20-24	96	1	а	56	0	а
	25-29	77	0	а	40	0	а
	30-34	41	1	а	22	0	а
	35-39	36	2	а	16	0	а
	40-44	26	0	а	13	0	а
	45 and Over	34	1	а	11	0	а
	Unknown	0	0	а	0	0	а
Total		345	5	1.4	206	1	0.5

¹These unlinked (blinded) surveys were drawn from blood specimens collected for routine syphilis screening. Specimens were collected consecutively and tested for HIV after all personal identifiers were removed.

²All positive specimens were repeatedly reactive by Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) and confirmed by a Western blot or Immunofluorescence Assay (IFA).

^aNot calculated for fewer than 100 tested and number positive less than or equal to 3.

^bNot applicable.

^c Less than 5.

Table 22.

HIV Seroprevalence Among Persons Attending Sexually Transmitted Disease (STD) Clinics by Risk Behavior, Race/Ethnicity, and Age Group Category

Berkeley City, January – June 1999

Risk Behavior/			Males		Females		
Demographic (Number	Number	Prevalence	Number	Number	Prevalence
		Tested	Positive ²	(%)	Tested	Positive ²	(%)
Risk	MSM	29	5	17.2	а	а	а
Behavior	MSM, IDU	b	0	С	а	а	а
	Heterosexual	232	2	0.9	173	0	0.0
	Heterosexual, IDU	b	0	С	5	0	С
	Other	b	0	С	b	0	С
	Unknown	7	0	С	6	0	С
Race/Ethnicit	White	105	2	1.9	70	0	С
	Black	109	3	2.8	66	0	С
	Hispanic	34	1	С	25	0	С
	Asian/Pacific Islander	12	1	С	16	0	С
	American Ind/Alsk	0	0	С	b	0	С
	Other	14	0	С	7	0	С
	Unknown	b	0	С	b	0	С
Age Group	14 and Under	0	0	С	b	0	С
	15-19	16	0	С	23	0	С
	20-24	62	0	С	48	0	С
	25-29	51	1	2.0	34	0	С
	30-34	43	1	С	27	0	С
	35-39	41	3	С	18	0	С
	40-44	24	1	С	14	0	С
	45 and Over	36	1	С	19	0	С
	Unknown	b	0	С	b	0	С
Total		276	7	2.5	187	0	0.0

¹These unlinked (blinded) surveys were drawn from blood specimens collected for routine syphilis screening. Specimens were collected consecutively and tested for HIV after all personal identifiers were removed.

²All positive specimens were repeatedly reactive by Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) and confirmed by a Western blot or Immunofluorescence Assay (IFA).

^aNot applicable.

bLess than 5.

^cNot calculated for fewer than 100 tested and number positive less than or equal to 3.

TRENDS IN HIV SEROPREVALENCE AMONG STD PATIENTS BY REGION 1994 - 1999

Figures 7 through 14 present trends in HIV seroprevalence among persons attending sexually transmitted disease clinics in eight regions of California, 1994–1999.

In San Francisco, White patients had the highest HIV seroprevalence from 1994 through 1999. The prevalence of HIV infection peaked in 1999 for White and Black patients and in 1995 for Hispanic patients. White patients showed a steady decline of HIV infection between 1995 and 1998, rising sharply in 1999. Both Black and Hispanic patients showed a slight increase between 1996 and 1999. Patients aged 15-44 showed a slight decline for years 1995-1998 and a slight increase from 1998 to 1999. Seroprevalence for age group 45 & Over peaked in 1999. In all six years we see the same ranking for risk behavior: MSM IDU, MSM, Heterosexual IDU, and Heterosexuals. HIV seroprevalences fluctuated for MSM IDU, and remained steady for Heterosexuals and Heterosexual IDU patients. HIV seroprevalence among MSM patients declined through 1998, and slightly increased in 1999.

In San Diego, White patients had fluctuating HIV seroprevalences from 1994 through 1999. The prevalence of HIV infection peaked in 1997 for White and Hispanic patients and in 1999 for Black patients. Black patients showed an increase of HIV infection between 1996 and 1999. Both age groups (15-44 and 45 & Over) showed fluctuating HIV prevalence. MSM had the highest prevalence of HIV infection, declining sharply between 1997 and 1998, and rising sharply in 1999. The rates of HIV infection among heterosexual patients remained the lowest and steady.

In Los Angeles, White patients had the highest prevalence of HIV infection. HIV infection peaked in 1999. Both Black and Hispanic patients showed steady rates of HIV infection. Both age groups (15-44 and 45 & Over) had the highest prevalence of HIV infection in 1997. MSM and MSM IDU groups had the highest prevalence of HIV infection between 1994 and 1999. Both groups showed a decline in HIV infection in 1998. The rates among Heterosexuals and Heterosexuals IDU patients remained steady.

The Central Coast Region included the County of San Luis Obispo and the City of Long Beach for years 1994–1997 and City of Long Beach only for 1998-1999. The prevalence of HIV infection peaked in 1999 for Whites, in 1997 for Blacks and in 1998 for Hispanics. Both White and Black patients showed an increase of HIV infection in 1999; Hispanic patients had a sharp decline in 1999. Age group 15-44 years showed steady rates of HIV infection. Age group 45 & Over showed fluctuating rates of HIV infection. MSM had the highest prevalence of HIV infection, declining sharply from 1996 to 1998. The rate of HIV infection among Heterosexuals remained steady.

The Bay Area region includes the County of Santa Clara and the City of Berkeley. The prevalence of HIV infection peaked in 1995 for Black and White patients. All race/ethnicity groups showed an increase in HIV infection in 1999. Age group 15-44 years showed a


steady decline of HIV infection through 1998, showing an increase in 1999. Age group 45 and Over showed fluctuating rates of HIV infection. MSM had the highest prevalence of infection, declining from 1995 through 1998, and rising sharply in 1999. The rates of HIV infection among Heterosexual patients remained steady.

The Central Valley region includes the counties of Fresno, Kern, and San Joaquin. Black patients had the highest HIV seroprevalence, declining sharply from 1996 to 1997. White patients showed a steady decline through 1997, increasing slightly in 1998, and decreasing in 1999. Hispanic patients showed a decrease in HIV prevalence from 1994 through 1997, and rising slightly through 1999. Both age groups had the highest prevalence of HIV infection in 1994, and both age groups showed fluctuation in HIV prevalence. Heterosexuals had the highest prevalence in 1994, rising sharply from 1997 to 1998.

The North Valley region includes the counties of Sacramento and Solano for 1994 and 1995. Years 1996 through 1999 include Sacramento only. The prevalence of HIV infection peaked in 1999 for Whites and Blacks. Both White and Black patients showed fluctuating rates of infection, both showing an increase from 1997 to 1999. Age group 15-44 dropped sharply between 1996 and 1997, and peaked in 1999. Heterosexual patients showed fluctuating HIV prevalence, rising sharply between 1998 and 1999.

The South Valley region includes the counties of San Bernardino and Riverside counties for 1994 through 1996. HIV seroprevalence was highest among White patients. The prevalence of HIV infection peaked for Black and Hispanic patients in 1996 and in 1994 for White patients. Age group 15-44 peaked in 1994, declining through 1996. Heterosexuals had the highest prevalence in 1994, declining through 1996.

Figure 7. Temporal Trends in HIV Seroprevalence among STD Clinic Patients in San Francisco Region, 1994 - 1999



Risk Behavior






Figure 8. Temporal Trends in HIV Seroprevalence among STD Clinic Patients in San Diego Region, 1994 - 1999





Note: Data were collected for six months only in all categories.

Note: For years 1995 through 1997, and 1999 there were less than 100 tested and less than or equal to 3 positive test results for age group 45 & Over.

Note: No information available for MSM IDU and Het IDU categories.





Figure 9. Temporal Trends in HIV Seroprevalence among STD Clinic Patients in Los Angeles Region, 1994 - 1999

Race/Ethnicity

4.5 year 4 3.5 per 3 ٥/٥ 2.5 2 Prevalence 1.5 -White **─**Black 0.5 **→** Hispanic 1999 1995 1996 1997 1998

Age Group




3

Risk Behavior


Note: For years 1995 through 1998, there were less than 100 tested and less than or equal to 3 positive test results for MSM IDU category.

Source: California Department of Health Services, Office of AIDS.




California Department of Health Service Office of AIDS, 2001

Race/Ethnicity



Age Group




Note: For years 1994 through 1999 there were less than 100 tested and less than or equal to 3 positives test results for age group 45 & Over.

Risk Behavior

Note: For years 1998 and 1999, there were less than 100 tested and less than or equal to 3 positive test results for MSM category. Risk categories MSM IDU and Het IDU had small numbers and were not included.

Source: California Department of Health Services, Office of AIDS.




Note: Central Coast includes Long Beach and San Luis Obispo. 1998 and 1999 data included Long Beach only. Data were collected for 6 months of each year for all categories.

California Department of Health Service Office of AIDS, 2001

California HIV Seroprevalence Report 1999

Figure 11. Temporal Trends in HIV Seroprevalence among STD Clinic Patients in Bay Area Region, 1994 - 1999



2.5 and 2 an

Age Group

15-44

—■ 45 & Over


3

Note: For year 1996 there were less than 100 tested and less than or equal to 3 positives for Hispanic race category.

Note: For years 1996 through 1999 there were less than 100 tested and less than or equal to 3 positives test results for age group $45\ \&$ Over.


Note: Risk category MSM IDU and Het IDU had small numbers and were not included. For years 1996 and 1998 there were less than 100 tested and less than or equal to 3 positives test results for MSM category.


Source: California Department of Health Services, Office of AIDS.




Note: Bay Area includes City of Berkeley and Santa Clara. For years 1994 through 1996, data were collected for 12 months, for years 1997 through 1999, data were collected for 6 months only. For year 1996, only City of Berkeley reported.

Figure 12. Temporal Trends in HIV Seroprevalence among STD Clinic Patients in Central Valley Region, 1994 - 1999





Age Group



 ω

Note: Central Valley includes Fresno, Kern, and San Joaquin counties. Specimens were collected for 6 months only. Risk categories MSM, Het IDU and MSM IDU had small numbers and were not included.

Source: California Department of Health Services, Office of AIDS.





Figure 13. Temporal Trends in HIV Seroprevalence among STD Clinic Patients in North Valley Region, 1994 - 1999


Race/Ethnicity



Note: Hispanics were not included because of zero positives for years 1994-1999.


Source: California Department of Health Services, Office of AIDS.

Age Group



Note: Age group 45 & Over were not included because of small numbers tested and zero positives for years 1994-1999.


Risk Behavior




Note: North Valley included Sacramento and Solano for 1994 and 1995. For years 1996 through 1999, North Valley included Sacramento only. Specimens were collected for six months only. Risk categories MSM, Het IDU and MSM IDU had small numbers and were not included.

Figure 14. Temporal Trends in HIV Seroprevalence among STD Clinic Patients in South Valley Region, 1994 - 1996





Age Group




Note: Age group 45 & Over was omitted because of zero positives for 1994-1996.

Risk Behavior


Note: South Valley included San Bernardino and Riverside for years 1994 through 1996. Years 1997 through 1999 were omitted for all categories because South Valley included San Bernardino only. Specimens were collected for 6 months only. Risk categories MSM, Het IDU, and MSM IDU had small numbers and were not included.

Source: California Department of Health Services, Office of AIDS.



California Department of Health Services Office of AIDS, 2001

California HIV Seroprevalence Report 1999



SURVEY AMONG BLOOD BANKS AND PLASMA CENTERS

The California Department of Health Services, Office of AIDS (CDHS/OA), began monitoring data from the routine testing of blood donors in 1987. HIV prevalences among donors are lower than those of the general population because persons at increased risk for HIV infection are actively discouraged from donating.

This report summarizes data from HIV-1 antibody screening of blood and blood products collected in selected California counties and cities for 1999. Additional county data are available through the Office of AIDS. CDHS/OA received reports of testing results from 40 blood banks and 10 plasma centers. This information represents data from California facilities required to report HIV-1/HIV-2¹ antibody test results to CDHS/OA. HIV-2 data are not included in this report.

In 1999, 721,055 specimens from selected California blood banks² were tested, of which 19 (0.003 percent) were seropositive. HIV seroprevalence in selected California blood banks ranged from zero to 0.005 percent (Table 23).

In 1999, 637,908 specimens from selected California plasma centers³ were tested, of which 47 (0.007 percent) were seropositive (Table 24). HIV seroprevalence in selected California plasma centers ranged from zero to 0.013 percent.

¹Testing for HIV-2 began the second quarter of 1992. Data collected through 1999 showed 25 (23 Blood Banks, 2 Plasma Centers) confirmed positive for HIV-2. To date, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration has not licensed a confirmatory test for HIV-2 infection. Currently, reactive HIV-2 EIAs are confirmed by unlicensed tests. Cross-reactivity between HIV-1 and HIV-2 is a strong possibility in instances where HIV-2 is confirmed by existing unlicensed testing.

²Fresno, Kern, Los Angeles, Sacramento, San Bernardino, San Diego, San Francisco, San Joaquin, Santa Clara and the Cities of Long Beach and Pasadena.

³Fresno, Kern, Los Angeles, Sacramento, San Bernardino, San Diego, San Joaquin, and the City of Long Beach.

Table 23.
HIV-1 Seroprevalence for Units Collected by Selected California Blood Banks
1999


County/City of Residence	Number Tested	Number Positive ¹	Prevalence (%)
Fresno	48,304	2	0.004
Kern	27,645	0	0.000
Long Beach	1,054	0	0.000
Los Angeles	389,621	13	0.003
Pasadena	726	0	0.000
Sacramento	133,768	1	0.001
San Diego	56,187	3	0.005
San Francisco	4,228	0	0.000
San Joaquin	39,272	0	0.000
Santa Clara	20,250	0	0.000
Total	721,055	19	0.003

¹ All positive specimens were repeatedly reactive by Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) and confirmed by a Western blot (Wb) or Immunofluorencence Assay (IFA).

Table 24.
HIV-1 Seroprevalence for Units Collected by Selected California Plasma Centers
1999

County/City of Residence	Number Tested	Number Positive ¹	Prevalence (%)
Fresno	78,119	4	0.005
Kern	78,118	2	0.003
Long Beach	53,499	6	0.011
Los Angeles	138,384	18	0.013
Sacramento	56,851	3	0.005
San Bernardino	49,368	5	0.010
San Diego	177,216	8	0.005
San Joaquin	6,353	1	0.016
Total	637,908	47	0.007

¹ All positive specimens were repeatedly reactive by Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) and confirmed by a Western blot (Wb) or Immunofluorencence Assay (IFA).



SURVEY AMONG CIVILIAN APPLICANTS FOR MILITARY SERVICE

Since October 1985, all persons applying for active duty or reserve military service, the service academies, and the Reserve Officer Training Corps (ROTC) have been screened for HIV infection as part of their entrance medical evaluation. Applicants found to be HIV positive are excluded from military service but receive counseling from a military physician and referrals to HIV/AIDS specialists and counselors in their own communities. Data from this group are important because of the large number of persons screened and because the applicants include both sexes and all racial and ethnic groups from all areas of the country. The Department of Defense shares the resulting statistical data with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) for HIV surveillance purposes. The CDC in turn provides this information (excluding personal identifiers) to state and local health departments.

Prior to July 1993, before medical evaluations, applicants were interviewed about drug use and homosexual activity, both of which were grounds for exclusion from entry into military service. Potential applicants were informed that they would be screened for HIV antibodies and excluded from entry if infected. Therefore, injecting drug users, men who have sex with men, and persons who suspected or were already aware they were infected with HIV were likely to have been under represented among those applicants actually tested. In 1993, President Clinton authorized the "don't ask, don't tell policy" and applicants could no longer be asked about homosexual activity.

This report summarizes data for nine selected California counties¹ that also collect STD data. Additional county data are available through the Office of AIDS. In 1999, a total of 19,049 specimens from these selected counties were tested for HIV antibodies (Table 25). Of these, 0.03 percent were seropositive. Prevalence ranged from zero positives in five counties to a high of 0.37 percent in San Francisco county.

As shown in Table 26, males represented 80.7 percent (15,370) of the total civilian applicants for these counties, of which four (0.03 percent) were seropositive. Females represented 19.3 percent (3,679) of the total civilian applicants for these counties, of which one (0.03 percent) was seropositive (Table 27).

In 1999, men in age group 25-29 showed the highest prevalence of 0.08 percent. The one positive among women was in age group 15-19 with a prevalence of 0.04 percent.

Among race/ethnicity groups, Black men had the highest prevalence of 0.10 percent and Black women had one positive (0.14 percent).

-

¹ Fresno, Kern, Los Angeles, Sacramento, San Bernardino, San Diego, San Francisco, San Joaquin, and Santa Clara.

Table 25.
HIV Seroprevalence for Civilian Applicants for Military Service
Selected California Counties¹
1999

County/City of Residence	Number Tested	Number Positive ²	Prevalence (%)
Fresno	799	0	0.00
Kern	901	0	0.00
Los Angeles	7,914	0	0.00
Sacramento	1,600	1	0.06
San Bernardino	2,258	1	0.04
San Diego	3,755	2	0.05
San Francisco	269	1	0.37
San Joaquin	613	0	0.00
Santa Clara	940	0	0.00
Total	19,049	5	0.03

¹ Data provided by Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for HIV/STD/TB Prevention, Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention, Civilian Applicants for Military Service.

 $^{^2}$ All positive specimens were repeatedly reactive by Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) and confirmed by a Western blot (Wb) or Immunofluorencence Assay (IFA).

^a Not calculated for fewer than 100 tested and number positive less than or equal to 3.

Table 26. HIV Seroprevalence for Male Civilian Applicants For Military Service By Age Group and Race/Ethnicity Selected California Counties¹

1999

Gender and Age Group	Number Tested	Number Positive ²	Prevalence %	
Age Group				
Under 15	0	0	а	
15-19	8,955	1	0.01	
20-24	3,995	2	0.05	
25-29	1,324	1	0.08	
30-34	650	0	0.00	
35-39	268	0	0.00	
40-44	107	0	0.00	
45 and Over	71	0	а	
Race/Ethnicity				
White	6,572	1	0.02	
Black	1,948	2	0.10	
Hispanic	4,317	0	0.00	
Asian/Pacific Islander	2,007	1	0.05	
American Indian/Alaskan Native	222	0	0.00	
None of the Above	304	0	0.00	
Total	15,370	4	0.03	

¹ Data provided by Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for HIV/STD/TB Prevention, Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention, Civilian Applicants for Military Service.

 $^{^2}$ All positive specimens were repeatedly reactive by Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) and confirmed by a Western blot (Wb) or Immunofluorencence Assay (IFA).

^a Not calculated for fewer than 100 tested and number positive less than or equal to 3.

Table 27. HIV Seroprevalence for Female Civilian Applicants For Military Service By Age Group and Race/Ethnicity

Selected California Counties¹ 1999


Gender and Age Group	Number Tested	Number Positive ²	Prevalence %
Age Group			
Under 15	0	0	а
15-19	2,311	1	0.04
20-24	903	0	0.00
25-29	275	0	0.00
30-34	136	0	0.00
35-39	36	0	а
40-44	14	0	а
45 and Over	b	0	а
Race/Ethnicity			
White	1,363	0	0.00
Black	737	1	0.14
Hispanic	1,010	0	0.00
Asian/Pacific Islander	435	0	0.00
American Indian/Alaskan Native	61	0	а
None of the Above	73	0	а
Total	3,679	1	0.03

¹ Data provided by Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for HIV/STD/TB Prevention, Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention, Civilian Applicants for Military Service.

 $^{^2}$ All positive specimens were repeatedly reactive by Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) and confirmed by a Western blot (Wb) or Immunofluorencence Assay (IFA).

^a Not calculated for fewer than 100 tested and number positive less than or equal to 3.

b Less than 5.



SURVEY OF CHILDBEARING WOMEN

From 1988 through 1995, an anonymous national HIV serosurvey – the Survey of Childbearing Women – was conducted to monitor prevalence of HIV infection among women delivering infants in the United States. The survey was based on the systematic, unlinked testing for HIV antibody with residual dried blood spot specimens routinely collected from newborns for routine metabolic screening.

CDHS/OA in collaboration with the Genetic Disease Branch (GDB) and the Viral and Rickettsial Disease Laboratory (VRDL), participated in this national serosurvey from 1988 through 1995. OA collected data on consecutive births during the third quarter (July, August, and September) of each year. In 1998, OA, in collaboration with GDB and VRDL, replicated the serosurvey. In addition, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) measured zidovudine in HIV antibody-positive specimens to assess the prevalence of zidovudine therapy among HIV-infected childbearing women. These population-based data are important to target resources in a cost-beneficial manner and to enhance HIV counseling and testing strategies among pregnant women.

This report includes HIV seroprevalence for childbearing women in selected California counties and cities¹. During 1998, 76,166 unlinked specimens were tested for maternal HIV antibodies in these counties. Of these, 60 specimens were confirmed positive. The seroprevalence for childbearing women in selected California counties was 0.08 percent (eight per 10,000). County-specific seroprevalence ranged from zero positives in Santa Clara county and the City of Berkeley to a high of 0.26 percent in the City and County of San Francisco. Overall, among selected counties the prevalence of zidovudine therapy among HIV infected childbearing was 78.3% (47/60). Additional county data are available in California Childbearing Women: A Comparison of HIV Seroprevalence Data from the Third Quarters of 1992, 1995, and 1998 and Zidovudine Determination, 1998.

In 1998, age-specific HIV seroprevalences were highest among women in age groups 25-29 and 35 and Over. Women aged 19 and Under and "Unknown" had zero positives.

During the third quarter of 1998, among selected California counties and cities, Hispanic childbearing women accounted for the largest numbers of births (41,389). However, race-specific seroprevalences reveal substantially higher HIV seroprevalence among Black women. In 1998, seroprevalences were five times higher among Black women compared with White women, and eight times higher than Hispanic women.

_

¹ Fresno, Kern, Los Angeles, Sacramento, San Bernardino, San Diego, San Francisco, San Joaquin, Santa Clara, cities of Long Beach and Berkeley.

Table 28.
HIV Seroprevalence in California Childbearing Women¹
Selected California Counties and Cities
1998

County/City of Residence	Number Tested	Number Positive ²	Prevalence (%)
Fresno	3,340	3	0.09
Kern	2,897	4	0.14
Los Angeles	37,588	26	0.07
Sacramento	3,904	4	0.10
San Bernardino	6,588	7	0.11
San Diego	8,909	5	0.06
San Francisco	1,907	5	0.26
San Joaquin	2,180	3	0.14
Santa Clara	6,142	0	0.00
Long Beach	2,415	3	0.12
Berkeley	296	0	0.00
Total	76,166	60	0.08

¹ Residual dried-blood specimens collected by heel stick onto filter paper for newborn metabolic screening were tested for HIV antibody only in the third quarter of the year.

² All positive specimens were tested for HIV antibody by enzyme immunoassay and confirmed by Western blot.

Table 29.
HIV Seroprevalence in California Childbearing Women¹
By Age Group and Race/Ethnicity of Mother
Selected California Counties and Cities²
1998

Age Group Race/Ethnicity	Number Tested	Number Positive	Prevalence (%)
Age Group			
19 and Under	8,985	0	0.00
20-24	18,025	10	0.06
25-29	20,495	23	0.11
30-34	17,327	13	0.08
35 and Over	11,322	14	0.12
Unknown	12	0	а
Race/Ethnicity			
White	19,431	15	0.08
Black	5,984	23	0.38
Hispanic	41,389	19	0.05
Asian/Pacific Islander	5,812	0	0.00
Other	3,537	3	0.08
Unknown	13	0	а
Total	76,166	60	0.08

¹ Residual dried-blood specimens collected by heel stick onto filter paper for newborn metabolic screening were tested for HIV antibody only in the third quarter of the year.

 $^{^2}$ Includes Fresno, Kern, Los Angeles, Sacramento, San Bernardino, San Diego, San Francisco, San Joaquin, and Santa Clara counties, and the cities of Long Beach and Berkeley.

 $^{^{\}mathrm{a}}$ Not calculated for fewer than 100 tested and number positive less than or equal to 3.